1	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney District of Nevada Nevada Bar No. 7709 PATRICK A. ROSE Assistant United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 5109	
2		
3		
4		
5	501 Las Vegas Blvd. So., Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
6	(702) 388-6336 Patrick.Rose@usdoj.gov	
7	Attorneys for the United States	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	ALYSE BOYKIN,	Case No. 2:22-cv-00717-MMD-DJA
11	Plaintiff,	Stipulation and Order to Schedule
12	V.	Settlement Conference and
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: DOES I through X; and ROE	Stay Remaining Deadlines
14	CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,	
15	Defendant.	
16	Pursuant to LR 26-3 and the Court's inherent power, the parties respectfully request	
17	that the Court set this matter for a settlement conference and stay the deadline of July 9, 2023	
18	for the proposed joint pretrial order.	
19	This action arises under the Federal Tort Claim Act ("FTCA")¹ for alleged injuries to	
20	Plaintiff arising from an October 22, 2018, car accident. The parties have completed	
21	discovery. The only remaining deadlines are those of June 8, 2023, for dispositive motions	
22	and July 9, 2023, for the proposed joint pretrial order.	
23	Often in this district, civil cases are referred for a settlement conference after a ruling	
24	on dispositive motions, if any, and after the filing of the proposed joint pretrial order The	
25	parties submit that it would conserve their resources, as well as those of the Court, if the	
26	settlement conference for this matter were held before the filings and adjudications, as	
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b)(1), 1402(b), 2401(b), 2402, 2671-2680.	

```
1
     applicable, of dispositive motions and the proposed joint pretrial order. Additionally, defense
 2
     counsel has scheduled leave and travel during the time that the proposed joint pretrial order
 3
     would be due. A district court has wide latitude in controlling discovery, Volk v. D.A. Davidson
 4
     & Co., 816 F.2d 1406, 1416–17 (9th Cir. 1987), as well as the inherent power to stay causes on
 5
     its docket to avoid duplicative litigation, inconsistent results, and waste of time and effort,
 6
     Stern v. United States, 563 F. Supp. 484, 489 (D. Nev. 1983) (Supreme Court citations omitted).
 7
            If this case were not resolved at the settlement conference, the parties agree to submit
 8
     within 14 days thereafter a new, proposed schedule for dispositive motions and the proposed
 9
     joint pretrial order.
10
            Taking into accounts their schedules and obligations in other cases, the parties propose
11
     the following dates for a settlement conference:
12
            August 16–18, 2023;
13
            the week of August 21, 2023; and
14
            the week of August 28, 2023;
15
            the week of September 11, 2023;
16
            the week of September 18, 2023; and
17
            the week of September 25, 2023.
18
     ///
19
     ///
20
     ///
21
     ///
22
     ///
23
     ///
24
     ///
25
     ///
26
     ///
27
     ///
28
     ///
```

## 

1 Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant this stipulation and 2 thereafter issue a separate order setting the date, details, and requirements for the settlement 3 conference. 4 Respectfully submitted this 30th day of May 2023. 5 MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney 6 /s/ Marcus A. Berg /s/ Patrick A. Rose 7 MARCUS A. BERG, Esq. PATRICK A. ROSE Nevada Bar No. 9760 Assistant United States Attorney 8 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 110 Attorneys for the United States Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court will enter a separate order setting the 11 settlement conference. 12 13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE June 1, 2023 14 DATED: \_ 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28